Vol.48, No.2 Feb. 2 0 1 8

JOURNAL OF UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA

文章编号:0253-2778(2018)2-0097-08

# MacWilliams identities of linear codes with respect to RT metric over $M_{n\times s}(\mathbb{F}_l+v\mathbb{F}_l+\cdots+v^{k-1}\mathbb{F}_l)$

WU Rongsheng, SHI Minjia, DING Shuangshuang

(School of Mathematical Sciences, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, China)

Abstract: A new Gray map over the commutative ring  $R = \mathbb{F}_l + v\mathbb{F}_l + \cdots + v^{k-1} \mathbb{F}_l$  was defined, where  $v^k = v$ . Under this new Gray map, the definitions of the Lee complete  $\rho$  weight enumerator and the exact complete  $\rho$  weight enumerator over  $M_{n\times s}(R)$  were given. Then, the MacWilliams identities with respect to the RT metric for these two weight enumerators of linear codes over  $M_{n\times s}(\mathbb{F}_l + v\mathbb{F}_l + \cdots + v^{k-1} \mathbb{F}_l)$  were obtained, respectively. In addition, some examples were presented to illustrate the obtained results.

Key words; weight enumerator; MacWilliams identity; linear codes; Gray map

CLC number: TN911.22

Document code: A

doi:10.3969/j.issn.0253-2778.2018.02.002

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 94B05; Secondary: 94B99

Citation: WU Rongsheng, SHI Minjia, DING Shuangshuang. MacWilliams identities of linear codes with respect to RT metric over  $M_{n\times s}(F_t+vF_t+\cdots+v^{k-1}F_t)[J]$ . Journal of University of Science and Technology of China, 2018,48(2):97-104.

吴荣胜,施敏加,丁爽爽. 矩阵环  $M_{n\times s}(F_l+vF_l+\cdots+v^{k-1}F_l)$ 上线性码关于 RT 重量的 MacWillims 恒等式[J]. 中国科学技术大学学报,2018,48(2):97-104.

## 矩阵环 $M_{n\times s}(\mathbb{F}_l+v\mathbb{F}_l+\cdots+v^{k-1}\mathbb{F}_l)$ 上线性码 关于 RT 重量的 MacWillims 恒等式

吴荣胜,施敏加,丁爽爽

(安徽大学数学科学学院,安徽合肥 230601)

摘要: 首先在交换环 $R = \mathbb{F}_l + v\mathbb{F}_l + \cdots + v^{k-1}\mathbb{F}_l$  上定义了一个新的 Gray 映射,在这个映射的基础下,定义了此矩阵环上完全 $\rho$  重量计数器和精确完全 $\rho$  重量计数器.然后给出了矩阵环 $M_{n\times s}(R)$  上线性码关于这两类计数器的 MacWillims 恒等式.此外,给出了几个例子说明了所得结论的正确性.

关键词: 重量计数器; MacWillims 恒等式; 线性码; Gray 映射

 $\textbf{Received:}\ \ 2017\text{--}01\text{--}10\ ;\ \ \textbf{Revised:}\ \ 2017\text{--}12\text{--}13$ 

Foundation item: Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (61672036), Technology Foundation for Selected Overseas Chinese Scholar, Ministry of Personnel of China (05015133), the Open Research Fund of National Mobile Communications Research Laboratory, Southeast University (2015D11), Key Projects of Support Program for Outstanding Young Talents in Colleges and Universities (gxyqZD2016008).

**Biography**: WU Rongsheng, male, born in 1992, master. Research field; Algebraic coding. E-mail; wrs2510@163.com Corresponding author; SHI Minjia, PhD/associate Prof. E-mail; smjwcl.good@163.com

## 0 Introduction

After just several decades of development, coding theory has become a thriving research area. It has found its widespread application in areas ranging from communication systems to storage technology. Especially, the MacWilliams identity is an important tool in error-correcting coding theory. More than a decade ago, Rosenbloom and Tsfasman proposed a non-Hamming distance over linear space  $\mathbb{F}_{l}^{n}$  in Ref. [1]. This distance is now called the RT metric. Since then, many scholars have been interested in MacWilliams identity with respect to RT metric over various rings<sup>[2-4,11]</sup>. A lot of contributions about RT metric over rings and fields can be found in Refs. [5, 6, 7]. Ref. [9] studied cyclic codes and the weight enumerators of linear codes over  $\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2 + v^2\mathbb{F}_2$ . Later, Ref.[8] determined MacWilliams identities of linear codes with respect to RT metric over that ring.

This paper is devoted to generalizing the results in Ref. [8] to the most general case. In Section 1, we first introduce the concept of RTmetric, next we give the definition of the complete  $\rho$  weight enumerator over  $M_{n\times s}(R)$ . In Section 2, we start with the new Gray map, and then the definition of the Lee complete  $\rho$  weight enumerator over  $M_{n\times s}(R)$  is given. Furthermore, we prove a MacWilliams identity with respect to Lee complete  $\rho$  weight enumerator over  $M_{n\times s}(R)$ , and finally we give an example to illustrate the obtained result. In Section 3, we first define the exact weight enumerators and the exact complete  $\rho$  weight enumerators over  $M_{n\times s}(R)$ , then we prove a MacWilliams identity with respect to exact complete  $\rho$  weight enumerators over  $M_{n \times s}(R)$ . Numerical examples are presented to illustrate the obtained results.

## 1 Preliminary

Let R denote the commutative ring  $F_l + vF_l + \cdots + v^{k-1} F_l = \{a_0 + a_1v + \cdots + a_{k-1}v^{k-1} \mid a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{k-1} \in F_l\}$ , where l is a prime and k is a positive

integer, and we denote the set of all  $n \times s$  matrices over R by  $M_{n \times s}(R)$ . Now we define the RT weight of p as follows:

$$\omega_N(p) = \begin{cases} \max\{i: p_i \neq 0\} + 1, p \neq 0; \\ 0, p = 0; \end{cases}$$

where  $p = (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{s-1}) \in M_{1 \times s}(R)$ . Let  $p, q \in M_{1 \times s}(R)$ . The RT distance between p and q is defined as  $\rho(p,q) = \omega_N(p-q)$ . The RT weight is then extended to  $P = (P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n)^T \in M_{n \times s}(R)$ 

as  $w_N(P) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_N(P_i)$ , where  $P_i = (p_{i,0}, p_{i,1}, \cdots, p_{i,s-1}) \in M_{1 \times s}(R)$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ . The RT distance between P and Q is  $\rho(P,Q) = w_N(P-Q)$ , where  $P,Q \in M_{n \times s}(R)$ . It is obvious that the RT distance is a metric on  $M_{n \times s}(R)$ . In addition, when s=1, there is no difference between RT metric and Hamming metric.

A linear code C over  $M_{n\times s}(R)$  is an Rsubmodule of  $M_{n\times s}(R)$ . Let  $\omega_r(C)$  denote the weight spectrum of C, i.e.,  $\omega_r(C) = |\{P \in C \mid$  $\omega_{\scriptscriptstyle N}(P) = r$  |, where  $0 \leqslant r \leqslant ns$ , and the  $\rho$  weight enumerator of C is defined as  $W_C(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{ns} \omega_r(C) z^r$  $=\sum_{p\in C}z^{\omega_{N}(p)}$  . Let  $p=(p_{0},p_{1},\cdots,p_{s-1})$  and  $q=(q_{0},p_{s-1})$  $q_1, \dots, q_{s-1}$ ), where  $p, q \in M_{1 \times s}(R)$ . Then the inner product of p and q is defined as  $\langle p, q \rangle =$  $\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} p_i q_{s-1-i}$  , and this concept can be extended to the inner product of P and Q as  $\langle P,Q \rangle$  =  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle P_{i}, Q_{i} \rangle, \text{ where } P = (P_{1}, P_{2}, \dots, P_{n})^{T},$  $Q = (Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n)^{\mathrm{T}} \in M_{n \times s}(R), P_i = (p_{i,0}, Q_n)^{\mathrm{T}}$  $p_{i,1}, \dots, p_{i,s-1})$ , and  $Q_i = (q_{i,0}, q_{i,1}, \dots, q_{i,s-1}) \in$  $M_{1\times s}(R)$ ,  $1\leqslant i\leqslant n$ . For s=1, by properly interchanging the subscripts, we have  $\langle P, Q \rangle =$  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}q_{i}$ , i.e., the usual Euclidean inner product.

The dual of C is defined as  $C^{\perp} = \{Q \in M_{n \times s}(R) \mid \langle P, Q \rangle = 0, \ \forall P \in C \}$ . Then  $C^{\perp}$  is also a linear code over  $M_{n \times s}(R)$ . The ring of  $n \times s$  matrices over R can be identified with the ring of  $n \times 1$  matrices with polynomial entries. We identify the set of all polynomials of degree at most

s-1 over R with  $R[x]/(x^s)$ . Define a map

$$\Psi: M_{n \times s}(R) \rightarrow M_{n \times 1}(R[x]/(x^s)),$$

$$P = (P_1, \dots, P_n)^{\mathrm{T}} \mapsto (P_1(x), \dots, P_n(x))^{\mathrm{T}},$$

where  $P_i = (p_{i,0}, p_{i,1}, \cdots, p_{i,s-1}) \in M_{1\times s}(R)$ ,  $P_i(x) = p_{i,0} + p_{i,1}x + \cdots + p_{i,s-1}x^{s-1} \in R[x]/(x^s)$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $P^T$  is the transpose of P.

Let  $p(x) = p_0 + p_1 x + \cdots + p_{s-1} x^{s-1} \in R[x]/(x^s)$ . Let the  $e^{\text{th}}(0 \le e \le s-1)$  coefficient of p(x) be denoted by  $c_e(p(x))$ . Then the inner product  $\langle p(x), q(x) \rangle$  becomes  $\langle p(x), q(x) \rangle = c_{s-1}(p(x)q(x))$ . Similarly, suppose  $P, Q \in M_{n \times 1}(R[x]/(x^s))$ . We define

$$\langle P(x), Q(x) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle P_i(x), Q_i(x) \rangle =$$
  
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{s-1} (P_i(x)Q_i(x)),$$

where  $P(x) = (P_1(x), P_2(x), \dots, P_n(x))^T$ ,  $Q(x) = (Q_1(x), Q_2(x), \dots, Q_n(x))^T$  and  $P_i(x) = p_{i,0} + p_{i,1}x + \dots + p_{i,s-1}x^{s-1}, Q_i(x) = q_{i,0} + q_{i,1}x + \dots + q_{i,s-1}x^{s-1} \in R[x]/(x^s), 1 \leq i \leq n$ . When s = 1, by properly interchanging the subscripts, we

have 
$$\langle P(x), Q(x) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}q_{i}$$
. For  $0 \in R$ , the Hamming weight  $w(0)$  of the zero element is

Hamming weight w(0) of the zero element is defined as 0, otherwise 1.

**Definition 1.1** Let  $Y_{ns} = (y_{1.0}, \dots, y_{1.s-1}, \dots, y_{n.0}, \dots, y_{n.s-1})$ ,  $P = (p_{i.j})_{n \times s} \in M_{n \times s}(R)$ , where  $1 \le i \le n$ ,  $0 \le j \le s-1$ . Define the complete  $\rho$  weight enumerator of C over  $M_{n \times s}(R)$  as

$$W_{C}(Y_{ns}) = \sum_{p \in C} y_{1,0}^{w(p_{1,o})} \cdots y_{1,s-1}^{w(p_{1,-1})} \cdots y_{n,0}^{w(p_{s,o})} \cdots y_{n,s-1}^{w(p_{s,o})}.$$

When n=1, by properly interchanging the subscripts, we get the definition of complete  $\rho$  weight enumerator of C over  $R^s$  as

$$W_{C}(Y) = \sum_{P \in C} y_{1}^{w(p_{0})} y_{2}^{w(p_{1})} \cdots y_{s}^{w(p_{m})},$$

where

$$p = (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{s-1}) \in R^s, Y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s).$$

## 2 Lee complete $\rho$ weight enumerator

**Definition 2.1** Define the Gray map  $\Phi : R^n \to \mathbb{F}_l^{kn}$  by  $\Phi(a_0 + a_1v + \dots + a_{k-1}v^{k-1}) = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-2}, a_0 + a_{k-1}), \ \forall \ a_0 + a_1v + \dots + a_{k-1}v^{k-1} \in R^n,$ 

where  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ ,...,  $a_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_l^n$ .

**Definition 2.2** According to Definition 2.1, for  $\alpha \in R$ , we have the Lee weight of  $\alpha$  as

$$W_{L}(\alpha) = egin{cases} 0, & ext{if } lpha = 0; \ 1, & ext{if } lpha \in U; \ dots & dots \ i, & ext{if } lpha \in V; \ dots & dots \ k, & ext{if } lpha \in W; \end{cases}$$

where  $U = U_0 \cup U_1$ ,  $U_0 = \{a_0 + (l - a_0)v^{k-1} \mid a_0 \in \mathbb{F}_l^*\}$ ,  $U_1 = \{a_jv^j \mid a_j \in \mathbb{F}_l^*, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k-1\}$ ,  $V = \{V_0 \cup V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3, V_0 = \{\sum_{j=1}^i a_{k_j}v^{k_j} \mid a_{k_j} \in \mathbb{F}_l^*, 1 \leqslant k_j \leqslant k-1\}$ ,  $V_1 = \{a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-2} a_{k_j}v^{k_j} \mid a_0, a_{k_j} \in \mathbb{F}_l^*, 1 \leqslant k_j \leqslant k-2\}$ ,  $V_2 = \{a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-2} a_{k_j}v^{k_j} + a_{k-1}v^{k-1} \mid a_0, a_{k-1}, a_{k_j} \in \mathbb{F}_l^*, 1 \leqslant k_j \leqslant k-2\}$ ,  $V_3 = \{a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{k_j}v^{k_j} + (l - a_0)v^{k-1} \mid a_0, a_{k_j} \in \mathbb{F}_l^*, 1 \leqslant k_j \leqslant k-2\}$ ,  $V_3 = \{a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{k_j}v^{k_j} + (l - a_0)v^{k-1} \mid a_0, a_{k_j} \in \mathbb{F}_l^*, 1 \leqslant k_j \leqslant k-2\}$ ,  $V_3 = \{a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{k_j}v^{k_j} + (l - a_0)v^{k-1} \mid a_0, a_{k_j} \in \mathbb{F}_l^*, 1 \leqslant k_j \leqslant k-2\}$ ,  $V_3 = \{a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{k_j}v^{k_j} + (l - a_0)v^{k-1} \mid a_0, a_{k_j} \in \mathbb{F}_l^*, 1 \leqslant k_j \leqslant k-2\}$ ,  $V_3 = \{a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{k_j}v^{k_j} + (l - a_0)v^{k-1} \mid a_0, a_{k_j} \in \mathbb{F}_l^*, 1 \leqslant k_j \leqslant k-2\}$ ,  $V_3 = \{a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{k_j}v^{k_j} + (l - a_0)v^{k-1} \mid a_0, a_{k_j} \in \mathbb{F}_l^*, 1 \leqslant k_j \leqslant k-2\}$ ,  $V_3 = \{a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{k_j}v^{k_j} + (l - a_0)v^{k-1} \mid a_0, a_{k_j} \in \mathbb{F}_l^*, 1 \leqslant k_j \leqslant k-2\}$ ,  $V_3 = \{a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{k_j}v^{k_j} + (l - a_0)v^{k-1} \mid a_0, a_{k_j} \in \mathbb{F}_l^*, 1 \leqslant k_j \leqslant k-2\}$ ,  $V_3 = \{a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{k_j}v^{k_j} + (l - a_0)v^{k-1} \mid a_0, a_{k_j} \in \mathbb{F}_l^*, 1 \leqslant k_j \leqslant k-2\}$ ,  $V_3 = \{a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{k_j}v^{k_j} + (l - a_0)v^{k-1} \mid a_0, a_{k_j} \in \mathbb{F}_l^*, 1 \leqslant k_j \leqslant k-2\}$ ,  $V_3 = \{a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{k_j}v^{k_j} + (l - a_0)v^{k-1} \mid a_0, a_{k_j} \in \mathbb{F}_l^*, 1 \leqslant k_j \leqslant k-2\}$ ,  $V_3 = \{a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{k_j}v^{k_j} + (l - a_0)v^{k-1} \mid a_0, a_{k_j} \in \mathbb{F}_l^*, 1 \leqslant k_j \leqslant k-2\}$ ,  $V_3 = \{a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{k_j}v^{k_j} + (l - a_0)v^{k-1} \mid a_0, a_{k_j} \in \mathbb{F}_l^*, 1 \leqslant k-2\}$ ,  $V_3 = \{a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{k_j}v^{k_j} + (l - a_0)v^{k-1} \mid a_0, a_{k_j} \in \mathbb{F}_l^*, 1 \leqslant k-2\}$ ,  $V_3 = \{a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{k_j}v^{k_j} + (l - a_0)v^{k-1} \mid a_0, a_0, a_0, a_0 \in \mathbb{F}_l^*$ ,  $V_3 = \{a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{k_j}v^{k_j} + (l - a_0)v$ 

**Definition 2.3** Let  $Y_{ns} = (y_{1,0}, \dots, y_{1,s-1}, \dots, y_{n,0}, \dots, y_{n,s-1})$ ,  $P = (p_{i,j})_{n \times s} \in M_{n \times s}(R)$ , where  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $0 \le j \le s-1$ . Define the Lee complete  $\rho$  weight enumerator of C over  $M_{n \times s}(R)$  as

$$\mathrm{Lee}(Y_{ns}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} y_{1,0}^{W_{n}(\rho_{1,n})} \cdots y_{1,s-1}^{W_{n}(\rho_{1,n-1})} \cdots y_{n,0}^{W_{n}(\rho_{n,n})} \cdots y_{n,s-1}^{W_{n}(\rho_{n,n-1})}.$$

In particular, when n=1, by properly interchanging the subscripts, we have the Lee complete  $\rho$  weight enumerator of C over  $R^s$  as

$$\operatorname{Lee}(Y) = \sum_{P \in C} y_1^{\mathbf{W}_{\scriptscriptstyle L}(\rho_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})} y_2^{\mathbf{W}_{\scriptscriptstyle L}(\rho_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})} \cdots y_s^{\mathbf{W}_{\scriptscriptstyle L}(\rho_{\scriptscriptstyle -1})}.$$

**Definition 2.4** Define a map  $\chi: R \to \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $\chi(a_0 + a_1 v + \cdots + a_{k-1} v^{k-1}) = \xi^{a_{i-1}}$ ,  $\forall a_0 + a_1 v + \cdots + a_{k-1} v^{k-1} \in R$ , where  $\xi = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{l}}$ . Then  $\chi$  is a character of the ring R. The character  $\chi$  plays a crucial role in the following lemmas.

Similar to the proof of Lemma 2 in Ref.[11], we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** Let C be a linear code over  $M_{n\times s}(R)$  and P(x),  $Q(x)\in M_{n\times 1}(R[x]/(x^s))$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{P(x)\in C} \chi(\langle P(x), Q(x)\rangle) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } Q \notin C^{\perp}; \\ |C|, & \text{if } Q \in C^{\perp}. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 2.2**<sup>[10]</sup> Let  $\chi$  be a nontrivial character of G, where G is a finite Abelian group. Then

$$\sum_{b \in G} \chi(b) = 0.$$

The following lemma plays an important role in obtaining our main results.

**Lemma 2.3** Let  $\beta$  be a fixed element of R. Then

$$\sum_{a \in R} \chi(\beta a) y^{W_L(a)} = [1 + (l-1)y]^{k-W_L(\beta)} (1-y)^{W_L(\beta)}.$$

**Proof** Let  $a = a_0 + a_1 v + \dots + a_{k-1} v^{k-1}$  and  $\beta = \beta_0 + \beta_1 v + \dots + \beta_{k-1} v^{k-1} \in R$ , where  $a_i, \beta_i \in \mathcal{F}_l$  and  $0 \le i \le k-1$ . Moreover, let  $W_H(a)$  be the Hamming weight of the element a of  $\mathcal{F}_l$ , and  $(\beta a)_i$  denote the coefficient of  $v^i$  of the product  $\beta a$ . Then we have

$$egin{aligned} \sum_{a \in R} \chi\left(eta a
ight) y^{W_L(a)} &= \sum_{a \in R} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \chi\left(\left(eta a
ight)_i v^i
ight) y^{W_L(a)} = \ &\sum_{a \in R} \prod_{i=0}^{k-2} y^{W_R(a_i)} \chi\left(\left(eta a
ight)_{k-1} v^{k-1}
ight) y^{W_R(a_s+a_{i-1})} = \ &\sum_{a_i \in \mathbf{F}_i} \prod_{i=0}^{k-2} y^{W_R(a_i)} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} oldsymbol{\xi}^{eta_{i-1}, a_i + a_{i-1}eta_{i-1}} y^{W_R(a_s+a_{i-1})} = \ &\sum_{a_i \in \mathbf{F}_i} \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} y^{W_R(a_i)} oldsymbol{\xi}^{eta_{i-1}, a_i} \sum_{a_i \in \mathbf{F}} oldsymbol{\xi}^{a_{i-1}, (eta_s + eta_{i-1}) + a_seta_{i-1}} y^{W_R(a_s + a_{i-1})} y^{W_R(a_s)}. \end{aligned}$$

① Denote 
$$A=\sum_{a_i\in \mathbf{F}_i}\prod_{i=1}^{k-2}\xi^{\beta_{i-1},a_i}y^{\mathbf{W}_n(a_i)}$$
 , and  $\beta'=3$ ,  $y+\cdots+\beta_{k-2}y^{k-2}$ .

When  $\beta_{k-1-i}=0$ , if  $a_i=0$ , then  $\xi^{\beta_{i-1-i}a_i}y^{W_n(a_i)}=1$ , otherwise  $\xi^{\beta_{i-1-i}a_i}y^{W_n(a_i)}=y$ , so  $\sum_{a_i\in \mathbf{F}_i}\xi^{\beta_{i-1-i}a_i}y^{W_n(a_i)}=1+(l-1)y$ .

When  $\beta_{k-1-i} \neq 0$ , if  $a_i = 0$ , then  $\xi^{\beta_{i-1}a_i} y^{W_n(a_i)} = 1$ , otherwise according to Lemma 2.2, we have  $\sum_{a_i \in \mathbf{F}_i} \chi(a_i) = -1$ , so  $\sum_{a_i \in \mathbf{F}_i} \xi^{\beta_{i-1}a_i} y^{W_n(a_i)} = 1 - y$ .

From the definition of the Gray map defined above, we can write A as follows:

$$A = [1 + (l-1)y]^{k-2-W_L(\beta')}[1-y]^{W_L(\beta')}.$$

② Denote

$$B = \sum_{a_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}, a_{\scriptscriptstyle i-1} \in \mathbf{F}_i} \xi^{a_{\scriptscriptstyle i-1}(eta_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} + eta_{\scriptscriptstyle i-1}) + a_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} eta_{\scriptscriptstyle i-1}} \, y^{W_{\scriptscriptstyle H}(a_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} + a_{\scriptscriptstyle i-1})} \, y^{W_{\scriptscriptstyle H}(a_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})} \, \, \, \, ext{and}$$

$$\beta'' = \beta_0 + \beta_{k-1} v^{k-1}$$
.

When  $\beta_0 = \beta_{k-1} = 0$ , i.e.,  $W_L(\beta'') = 0$ , we have  $\sum_{a_o, a_{-1} \in F_l} y^{W_H(a_o + a_{s-1})} y^{W_R(a_0)} = [1 + (l-1)y]^2.$ 

When  $\beta_0=0$ ,  $\beta_{k-1}\neq 0$ , or  $\beta_0\neq 0$ ,  $\beta_0+\beta_{k-1}=0$ , i.e.,  $W_L(\beta'')=1$ , we only consider the first case here, then

$$\begin{split} \sum_{a_{v},a_{i-1}\in\mathbf{F}_{l}} &\xi^{(a_{o}+a_{i-1})\beta_{i-1}} y^{W_{H}(a_{o}+a_{i-1})} y^{W_{H}(a_{o})} = \\ &1 - y - y^{2} + y \cdot \sum_{a_{v},a_{i-1}\in\mathbf{F}_{l}^{+}} &\xi^{(a_{v}+a_{i-1})\beta_{i-1}} y^{W_{H}(a_{v}+a_{i-1})} = \\ &1 - y - y^{2} + y \begin{bmatrix} l - 1 - (l-2)y \end{bmatrix} = \\ & \begin{bmatrix} 1 + (l-1)y \end{bmatrix} (1-y). \end{split}$$

When  $\beta_0 \neq 0$ ,  $\beta_{k-1} = 0$ , or  $\beta_0 \neq 0$ ,  $\beta_0 + \beta_{k-1} \neq 0$ , i.e.,  $W_L(\beta'') = 2$ , we only consider the first case here, then

$$\begin{split} \sum_{a_{s},a_{s-1}\in\mathbf{F}_{i}} & \xi^{a_{s-1}\beta_{s}} \, y^{W_{ll}(a_{s}+a_{s-1})} \, y^{W_{ll}(a_{s})} = \\ & 1 + (l-1) \, y^{2} - y + y \, \cdot \sum_{a_{s},a_{s-1}\in\mathbf{F}_{i}} \xi^{a_{i-1}\beta_{s}} \, y^{W_{ll}(a_{s}+a_{s-1})} = \\ & (1-y)^{2}. \end{split}$$

Similarly, we can write B as follows:

$$B = [1 + (l-1)y]^{2-W_L(\beta')} (1-y)^{W_L(\beta'')}.$$

From the above discussion, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{a \in R} & \chi(\beta a) \, y^{W_L(a)} = A \cdot B = \\ & [1 + (l-1) \, y]^{k - (W_L(\beta') + W_L(\beta'))} \, (1 - y)^{W_L(\beta') + W_L(\beta')} = \\ & [1 + (l-1) \, y]^{k - W_L(\beta)} \, (1 - y)^{W_L(\beta)} \, . \end{split}$$

Thus we complete the proof.

**Remark 2.1** There is an alternative form of the above lemma, i.e., if  $P(x) = p_0 + p_1 x + \cdots + p_{n-1} x^{n-1} \in R[x]/(x^n)$ . Then

$$\sum_{a \in R} \chi(\langle P(x), ax^{i} \rangle) y^{W_{L}(a)} =$$

$$[1 + (l-1) \gamma]^{k-W_{L}(p_{\text{post}})} (1-\gamma)^{W_{L}(p_{\text{post}})}.$$

In connection with the preceding Lemma 2.1, we mention the following equation which will be useful for the next theorem.

**Lemma 2.4** Let C be a linear code over  $M_{n \times s}(R)$ ,  $f: M_{n \times 1}(R[x]/(x^s)) \to \mathbb{C}[Y_{ns}]$ . Then

$$\sum_{Q(x)\in C^{\perp}} f(Q(x)) = \frac{1}{|C|} \sum_{P(x)\in C} \hat{f}(P(x)),$$

where

$$\hat{f}(P(x)) = \sum_{\substack{Q(x) \in M_{n} \times 1(R[x]/(x^*))}} \chi(\langle P(x), Q(x) \rangle) f(Q(x)).$$

**Proof** We have

$$\sum_{P(x) \in CQ(x) \in M_{n \times 1}(R[x]/(x^{s}))} \hat{f}(P(x)) =$$

$$\sum_{P(x) \in CQ(x) \in M_{n \times 1}(R[x]/(x^{s}))} \chi(\langle P(x), Q(x) \rangle) \times f(Q(x)) =$$

$$\sum_{P(x) \in CQ(x) \in C^{\perp}} \chi(\langle P(x), Q(x) \rangle) f(Q(x)) +$$

$$\sum_{P(x) \in CQ(x) \notin C^{\perp}} \chi(\langle P(x), Q(x) \rangle) f(Q(x)) =$$

$$|C| \sum_{Q(x) \in C^{\perp}} f(Q(x)).$$

Thus the lemma is proved.

We are now ready to give one of the most valuable results of this paper.

**Theorem 2.1** Let C be a linear code over  $M_{n\times s}(R)$ , then

$$\begin{split} \sum_{Q(x) \in C^{\perp}} y_{1,0}^{W_{L}(q_{1,o})} \cdots y_{1,s-1}^{W_{L}(q_{1,o-1})} \cdots y_{n,0}^{W_{L}(q_{s,o})} \cdots y_{n,s-1}^{W_{L}(q_{s,o-1})} = \\ \frac{1}{\mid C \mid} \sum_{P(x) \in C} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} \left[ 1 + (l-1)y_{i,j} \right]^{k} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} \left( \frac{1-y_{r,t}}{1+(l-1)y_{r,t}} \right)^{W_{L}(p_{r,o-1})}. \end{split}$$

**Proof** Suppose  $f(Q(x)) = (f(Q_1(x), \dots, Q_n(x))^T) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} y_{i,j}^{W_i(q_{i,j})}$  in Lemma 2.4. According to Remark 2.1, we have

$$\begin{split} \hat{f}(P(x)) &= \sum_{Q(x) \in M_{\text{out}}(R[x]/(x^{*}))} \chi(\langle P(x), Q(x) \rangle) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} y_{i,j}^{W_{t}(q_{i,j})} = \\ &\prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \sum_{q_{i,j} \in R} \chi(\langle P_{1}(x), q_{1,j}x^{j} \rangle) y_{1,j}^{W_{t}(q_{i,j})} \cdots \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \sum_{q_{n,j} \in R} \chi(\langle P_{n}(x), q_{n,j}x^{j} \rangle) y_{n,j}^{W_{t}(q_{s,j})} = \\ &[1 + (l-1)y_{1,0}]^{k-W_{t}(p_{j,-1})} (1 - y_{1,0})^{W_{t}(p_{j,-1})} \cdots [1 + (l-1)y_{n,s-1}]^{k-W_{t}(p_{s,0})} (1 - y_{n,s-1})^{W_{t}(p_{s,0})} = \\ &\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} [1 + (l-1)y_{i,j}]^{k} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \prod_{t=0}^{s-1} \left(\frac{1 - y_{r,t}}{1 + (l-1)y_{r,t}}\right)^{W_{t}(p_{s,-i-r})}. \end{split}$$

By substituting it into Lemma 2.4, the results follows.

Corollary 2.1 Let C be a linear code and  $p(x) = p_0 + p_1 x + \dots + p_{s-1} x^{s-1}$ ,  $q(x) = q_0 + q_1 x + \dots + q_{s-1} x^{s-1} \in R[x]/(x^s)$ . Then in Theorem 2.1, when n = 1, by properly interchanging the subscripts, we get

$$\sum_{Q(x) \in C^{\perp}} y_1^{W_{\perp}(q_s)} y_2^{W_{\perp}(q_1)} \cdots y_s^{W_{\perp}(q_{-1})} = \frac{1}{\mid C \mid} \sum_{P(x) \in C} \prod_{i=1}^s \left[ 1 + (l-1)y_i \right]^k \prod_{r=1}^s \left[ \frac{1-y_r}{1+(l-1)y_r} \right]^{W_{\perp}(p_{l-1})}.$$

Similarly, when s = 1, by properly interchanging the subscripts, we get

$$\sum_{Q(x) \in C^{-}} y_{1}^{W_{\mathbb{L}}(q_{\flat})} \, y_{2}^{W_{\mathbb{L}}(q_{1})} \cdots y_{n}^{W_{\mathbb{L}}(q_{r-1})} = \frac{1}{\mid C \mid} \sum_{P(x) \in C} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[ 1 + (l-1)y_{i} \right]^{k} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1-y_{r}}{1+(l-1)y_{r}} \right)^{W_{\mathbb{L}}(p_{r-1})}.$$

The above equalities are called MacWilliams identities for Lee complete  $\rho$  weight enumerator and Lee weight enumerator of a linear code C over R, respectively. We can see that the inner product of the dual code of a linear code in the second equation over R is just the ordinary Euclidean inner product.

**Example 2.1** Consider a linear code C over  $M_{1\times 2}(R_1)$ , where

$$R_1 = F_2 + vF_2 + v^2F_2 + v^3F_2$$
 ( $v^4 = v$ ),

and the code C is generated by the set S as follows:

$$S = \{(1 + v + v^2 \quad 0), (0 \quad v + v^2)\}.$$

Then we can easily list all the codewords of C, and according to Definition 2.3, we get

Lee<sub>C</sub>(Y) = 1 + 
$$y_1$$
 + 3 $y_2^2$  + 3 $y_1y_2^2$  +  $y_1^3$  +  $y_1^4$  + 3 $y_1^3y_2^2$  + 3 $y_1^4y_2^2$ .

In light of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\operatorname{Lee}_{C^{-}}(Y) = \frac{1}{16} \sum_{p \in C} \prod_{i=1}^{2} [1 + y_{i}]^{4} \prod_{r=1}^{2} \left(\frac{1 - y_{r}}{1 + y_{r}}\right)^{W_{L}(p_{i-r})} =$$

$$1 + y_1 + 3y_2^2 + 3y_1y_2^2 + y_1^3 + y_1^4 + 3y_1^3y_2^2 + 3y_1^4y_2^2$$
.

We can easily check the code C is self-dual, and obviously, the conclusion is correct. Next we

give another example in the case of n = s = 2, and for which the code C is not self-dual.

**Example 2.2** Let C be a linear code over  $M_{2\times 2}(R_1)$ , where  $R_1$  is defined as above, and the code C is generated by the set S as follows:

$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

By Definition 2.3, we have  $\text{Lee}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y_{22})=1+4y_{1,0}+6y_{1,0}^2+4y_{1,0}^3+y_{1,0}^4$ . In light of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\operatorname{Lee}_{C^{-}}(Y_{22}) = \frac{1}{16} \sum_{P \in C} \prod_{i=1}^{2} \prod_{j=0}^{1} \left[ 1 + y_{i,j} \right]^{4} \prod_{r=1}^{2} \prod_{t=0}^{1} \left( \frac{1 - y_{r,t}}{1 + y_{r,t}} \right)^{W_{L}(P_{r,i-t})} = 1 + 4y_{2,1} + 6y_{2,1}^{2} + 4y_{2,1}^{2} + 4y_{2,1}^{4} + 4y_{2,0} + \dots + y_{1,0}^{4} y_{2,0}^{4} y_{2,0}^{4},$$

On the other hand, we know the dual of *C* is generated by the set as follows:

$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

so we can get the Lee complete  $\rho$  weight enumerator of the dual of C according to Definition 2.3, and it is obvious that the conclusion is correct.

## 3 Exact complete $\rho$ weight enumerator

In this section, we first define exact weight and exact complete  $\rho$  weight enumerators over  $M_{n\times s}(R)$ , and then we give a MacWilliams identity with respect to the exact complete  $\rho$  weight enumerators over  $M_{n\times s}(R)$ .

**Definition 3.1** We define the exact weight of  $\forall a_0 + a_1 v + \dots + a_{k-1} v^{k-1} \in R$  as  $w_e(a_0 + a_1 v + \dots + a_{k-1} v^{k-1}) = a_0 + a_1 l + \dots + a_{k-1} l^{k-1}$ , where  $a_0$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_l$ .

**Definition 3.2** Let  $Y_{ns} = (y_{1.0}, \dots, y_{1.s-1}, \dots, y_{n.0}, \dots, y_{n.s-1})$ ,  $P = (p_{i,j})_{n \times s} \in M_{n \times s}(R)$ , where  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $0 \le j \le s-1$ . Define the exact complete  $\rho$  weight enumerator of a code C over  $M_{n \times s}(R)$  as

$$E_{C}(Y_{ns}) = \sum_{P \in C} y_{1,0}^{W_{e}(p_{1,o})} \cdots y_{1,s-1}^{W_{e}(p_{1,-1})} \cdots y_{n,0}^{W_{e}(p_{s,o})} \cdots y_{n,s-1}^{W_{e}(p_{n,-1})}.$$

In particular, when n=1 in this definition, by properly interchanging the subscripts, we get the exact complete  $\rho$  weight enumerator of the code C over R, that is,

$$E_{C}(Y) = \sum_{p \in C} y_{1}^{\mathbf{W}_{e}(p_{0})} y_{2}^{\mathbf{W}_{e}(p_{1})} \cdots y_{s}^{\mathbf{W}_{e}(p_{-1})}.$$

To obtain another important theorem in this paper, we need prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1** Let  $\beta$  be a fixed element of R. Then we have

$$\sum_{a\in R} \chi(\beta a) y^{W_e(a)} = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \left[ \chi(\beta v^j) y^{lj} \right]^i.$$

**Proof** Let  $a=a_0+a_1v+\cdots+a_{k-1}v^{k-1}\in R$  , according to Definition 3.1, we have

$$\sum_{a \in R} \chi(\beta a) y^{W_{e}(a)} = \sum_{a_{j} \in \mathbf{F}_{l}} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \chi(\beta a_{j} v^{j}) y^{a_{j} l^{j}} = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( \sum_{a_{j} \in \mathbf{F}_{l}} (\chi(\beta v^{j}) y^{l^{j}})^{a_{j}} \right) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} [\chi(\beta v^{j}) y^{l^{i}}]^{i}.$$

Thus the lemma is proved.

**Remark 3.1** Similar to Remark 2.1, if  $P(x) = p_0 + p_1 x + \dots + p_{n-1} x^{n-1} \in R[x]/(x^n)$ . Then  $\sum_{a \in R} \chi(\langle P(x), ax^r \rangle) y^{W_e(a)} = \prod_{k=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} [\chi(p_{n-r-1}, v^j) y^{l^i}]^i.$ 

We are now able to obtain the main characterization theorem.

**Theorem 3.1** Let C be a linear code over  $M_{n \times s}\left(R\right)$ . Then

$$\sum_{Q(x)\in C^{-}} y_{1,0}^{W_{e}(q_{1,0})} \cdots y_{n,s-1}^{W_{e}(q_{n,-1})} = \frac{1}{\mid C\mid} \sum_{P(x)\in C} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \prod_{t=0}^{s-1} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \times \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \left[ \chi(p_{r,s-1-t}v^{j}) y_{r,t}^{l^{j}} \right]^{i}.$$

**Proof** Suppose  $f(Q(x)) = ((f(Q_1(x), \dots, Q_n(x))^T)) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} y_{i,j}^{W_e(q_{i,j})}$  in Lemma 2.4. According to Remark 3.1,

$$\begin{split} \hat{f}(P(x)) &= \sum_{Q(x) \in M_{n \times 1}(R[x]/(x^{s}))} \chi(\langle P(x), Q(x) \rangle) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} y_{i,j}^{W_{e}(q_{i,j})} = \\ &\prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \sum_{q_{i,j} \in R} \chi(\langle P_{1}(x), q_{1,j}x^{j} \rangle) y_{1,j}^{W_{e}(q_{i,j})} \cdots \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \sum_{q_{s,j} \in R} \chi(\langle P_{n}(x), q_{n,j}x^{j} \rangle) y_{n,j}^{W_{e}(q_{s,j})} = \\ &\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \left[ \chi(p_{1,s-1} \ v^{j}) y_{1,0}^{l'} \right]^{i} \cdots \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \left[ \chi(p_{n,0} \ v^{j}) y_{n,s-1}^{l'} \right]^{i} = \\ &\prod_{r=1}^{n} \prod_{t=0}^{s-1} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \left[ \chi(p_{r,s-1-l}v^{j}) y_{r,t}^{l'} \right]^{i}. \end{split}$$

By substituting it into Lemma 2.4, the result follows.

**Corollary 3.1** Let C be a linear code and  $p(x) = p_0 + p_1 x + \dots + p_{s-1} x^{s-1}$ ,  $q(x) = q_0 + q_1 x + \dots + q_{s-1} x^{s-1} \in R[x]/(x^s)$ . Then in Theorem 3.1, when n = 1, by properly interchanging the subscripts, we get

$$\sum_{Q(x) \in C^{-}} y_{1}^{W_{e}(q_{s})} y_{2}^{W_{e}(q_{r})} \cdots y_{s}^{W_{e}(q_{r})} = \frac{1}{|C|} \sum_{P(x) \in C} \prod_{r=1}^{s} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} [\chi(p_{s-r}v^{j})y_{r}^{l^{i}}]^{i}.$$

Similarly, when s = 1, by properly interchanging the subscripts, we have

$$\sum_{Q(x) \in C^{\perp}} y_1^{\mathbf{W}_{e}(q_n)} y_2^{\mathbf{W}_{e}(q_1)} \cdots y_n^{\mathbf{W}_{e}(q_{r-1})} = \frac{1}{|C|} \sum_{p_1 \in C} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \prod_{r=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} [\chi(p_{r-1} \ v^j) y_r^{l^j}]^j.$$

The above equalities are called MacWilliams identities with respect to the exact complete  $\rho$  weight enumerator and exact weight enumerator of a linear code C over R, respectively. We can see that the inner product of dual code of a linear code in the second equation over R is just the ordinary Euclidean inner product.

**Example 3.1** Let C be the linear code introduced in Example 2.1. Then according to Definition 3.2, we have

$$\begin{split} E_{C}(Y) = & 1 + y_{2}^{6} + y_{1}^{7} + y_{1}^{9} + y_{2}^{10} + y_{2}^{12} + \\ & y_{1}^{7}y_{2}^{6} + y_{1}^{14} + y_{1}^{9}y_{2}^{6} + y_{1}^{7}y_{2}^{10} + y_{1}^{9}y_{2}^{10} + \\ & y_{1}^{7}y_{2}^{12} + y_{1}^{14}y_{2}^{6} + y_{1}^{9}y_{2}^{12} + y_{1}^{14}y_{2}^{10} + y_{1}^{14}y_{2}^{12}. \end{split}$$

In light of Theorem 3.1, we can get

$$E_{C^{\perp}}(Y) = \frac{1}{16} \sum_{P \in C} \prod_{r=1}^{2} \prod_{j=0}^{3} \sum_{i=0}^{1} \left[ \chi(p_{2-r} v^{j}) y_{r}^{ij} \right]^{i} = 1 + v_{2}^{6} + v_{1}^{7} + v_{1}^{9} + v_{1}^{10} + v_{2}^{12} + v_{1}^{7} v_{2}^{6} + v_{1}^{7} v_{2}^{7} v_{2}^{7} + v_{1}^{7} v_{2}^{7} v_{2}^{7} + v_{1}^{7} v_{2}^{7} +$$

$$y_1^{14} + y_1^9 y_2^6 + y_1^7 y_2^{10} + y_1^9 y_2^{10} + y_1^7 y_2^{12} + y_1^{14} y_2^6 + y_1^9 y_2^{12} + y_1^{14} y_2^{10} + y_1^{14} y_2^{12}.$$

**Example 3.2** Let C be the linear code introduced in Example 2.2. Then according to Definition 3.2, we have  $E_C(Y_{22}) = \sum_{i=0}^{15} y_{1,0}^i$ . In light of Theorem 3.1, we can get

$$E_{C^{\perp}}(Y_{22}) = rac{1}{16} \sum_{P \in C} \prod_{r=1}^2 \prod_{t=0}^1 \prod_{j=0}^3 \sum_{i=0}^1 ig[ \chi(p_{r,1-t} v^j) y_{r,t}^{l'} ig]^i = \ \sum_{i=0}^{15} \sum_{j=0}^{15} \sum_{t=0}^{15} y_{1,0}^i y_{2,0}^j y_{2,1}^t.$$

Moreover, the number of codewords of  $C^{\perp}$  in Examples 2.1 and 3.1 is not large, so we can calculate Lee complete  $\rho$  weight enumerators and exact complete  $\rho$  weight enumerators according to Definitions 2.3 and 3.2. While in Examples 2.2 and 3.2, the code is not self-dual and the number of codewords of  $C^{\perp}$  is sufficiently large, it is efficient to use results above to obtain the Lee complete  $\rho$  weight enumerators and the exact complete  $\rho$  weight enumerators of the dual code of the linear code C over R.

### 4 Conclusion

MacWilliams type identities have been the most significant tool available for investigating and calculating weight distributions of linear codes. This paper is devoted to generalizing the results in Ref.[8] to the most general case. Note that we have proved the general cases for Lemmas 2.3 and 3.1, while Ref.[8] only proved the corresponding lemmas (Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1) for the special case. Moreover, Ref.[8] illustrated the main results by

considering only the case of n=1 for  $M_{n\times s}(R)$ , the case of n=1 corresponding to the usual vectors rather than the matrices, while in this paper, we considered the nontrivial cases for n=s=2, which demonstrates the general situation.

#### References

- [1] ROSENBLOOM M Y, TSFASMAN M A. Codes for the *m*-metric [J]. Problems of Information Transmission, 1997, 33(33): 55-63.
- [2] DU W, XU H Q. MacWilliams identities of linear codes over ring M<sub>n×s</sub> (R) with respect to the Rosenbloom-Tsfasman metric [J]. Information Technology Journal, 2012, 11(12): 1770-1775.
- [3] WANG DD, SHI MJ, LIUY. MacWilliams identities of linear codes over a matrix ring with respect to Rosenbloom-Tsfasman metric[J]. International Journal of Information and Electronics Engineering, 2015, 5(3): 184-188.
- AMIT K S, ANURADHA S. MacWilliams identities for weight enumerators with respect to the RT metric
   J J. Discrete Mathematics Algorithms and Applications, 2014, 6(2): 1450030.

- [5] SIAP I. A MacWilliams type identity [J]. Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 2002, 26(4): 181-194.
- [6] SIAP I. The complete weight enumerator for codes over  $M_{n\times s}$  ( $\mathbb{F}_q$ ) [J]. Lecture Notes on Computer Sciences, 2001, 2260(1): 20-26.
- [7] SIAP I, OZEN M. The complete weight enumerator for codes over  $M_{n\times s}$  (R) [J]. Applied Mathematics Letters, 2004, 17(1): 65-69.
- [8] SHI M J, LIU Y, SOLÉ P. MacWilliams identities of linear codes with respect to RT metric over M<sub>n×s</sub> (F<sub>t</sub> + v F<sub>t</sub> + v<sup>2</sup>F<sub>t</sub>)[J]. IEICE Transactions on Fundamentals of Electronics, Communications and Computer Sciences, 2014, E97-A(8): 1810-1813.
- [ 9 ] SHI M J. SOLÉ P. WU B. Cyclic codes and the weight enumerator of linear codes over F<sub>2</sub> + vF<sub>2</sub> + v<sup>2</sup>F<sub>2</sub>[J]. Applied and Computational Mathematics, 2013, 12(2): 247-255.
- [10] MACWILLIAMS F J, SLOANE N J A. The Theory of Error Correcting Codes [M]. Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Co, 1997.
- [11] ZHU S X, XU H Q, SHI M J. MacWilliams identity with respect to RT metric over ring  $\mathbb{Z}_4[J]$ . Acta Electronica Sinica, 2009, 37; 1116-1118.