Vol. 46, No. 12 Dec. 2 0 1 6

JOURNAL OF UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA

Article ID: 0253-2778(2016)12-0976-05

# On integrable non-canonical geodesic flow on two-dimensional torus

### ZHANG Hai

(School of Mathematical and Computational Sciences, Anging Normal University, Anging 246133, China)

**Abstract:** A non-canonical metric on two-dimensional torus was introduced. It was proved that its geodesic flow is Liouville integrable and has vanishing topological entropy when restricted onto invariant hypersurface.

Key words: geodesic flow; non-canonical metric; topological entropy

**CLC number**: O186, 1 **Document code**: A doi: 10. 3969/j. issn. 0253-2778, 2016, 12, 002

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 53D25; 37J35; 37B40

Citation: ZHANG Hai. On integrable non-canonical geodesic flow on two-dimensional torus [J]. Journal of University of Science and Technology of China, 2016,46(12):976-980.

### 二维环面上的一类可积测地流

### 章 海

(安庆师范大学数学与计算科学学院,安徽安庆 246133)

摘要:引入了二维环面上的一类非典则的黎曼度量,并且证明了它对应的测地流是 Liouville 可积的. 限制到不变超曲面上时,证明了该测地流的拓扑熵为零.

关键词:测地流;非典则度量;拓扑熵

### 0 Introduction

Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a smooth Riemannian manifold with metric  $g = (g_{ij})$ . Its cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  plays an outstanding role in physics. It serves as a natural phase space of particles or systems. There exists a canonical symplectic structure  $\omega$  on  $T^*M$ . In terms of the natural fiberwise coordinates  $(x^i, p_i)$  of  $T^*M$ , it is given by  $\omega = \sum dx^i \wedge dp_i$ .

For any smooth function f defined on  $T^*M$ , one can associate a Hamiltonian vector field  $X_f$  defined by  $\omega(X_f, \bullet) = \langle df, \bullet \rangle$ . We call  $(T^*M, \omega, X_H)$  a Hamiltonian dynamical system with Hamiltonian H. In the canonical coordinates  $(x^i, p_i)$ , its equations of motion read

$$\dot{x}^i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}, \ \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x^i}, \ i = 1, \dots, n.$$

In particular, the system is called the geodesic flow of the metric g, if the Hamiltonian function is

$$H(x,p) = \frac{1}{2} |p|_x^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} g^{ij} p_i p_j \qquad (1)$$

where  $|\cdot|_x^2 = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$  denotes the norm (scalar product) of the vector space  $T_x^*M$  induced by g.

A geodesic flow is called integrable if it is Liouville integrable as a Hamiltonian system. That is, there exist  $n = \dim M$  involutive and functionally independent first integrals on  $T^*M$ . Integrable geodesic flow has been extensively studied, see e. g. Refs. [1-3].

In this note we will study the dynamic behavior of the geodesic flow on two-dimensional non-canonical torus  $\mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon)$  with the metric

$$g = r^{2} \left[ \sin^{2} \theta + (1 + \varepsilon)^{2} \cos^{2} \theta \right] d\theta^{2} + (R + r \cos \theta)^{2} d\phi^{2}$$
 (2)

where R > r > 0 are constants and  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$  is a constant. When  $\varepsilon = 0$ , this metric is a canonical metric on torus  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . From Eq. (1), the corresponding geodesic Hamiltonian reads

$$H = \frac{p_{\theta}^{2}}{2r^{2}\left[\sin^{2}\theta + (1+\epsilon)^{2}\cos^{2}\theta\right]} + \frac{p_{\phi}^{2}}{2(R+r\cos\theta)^{2}}$$
(3)

This paper is organized as follows. In section 1 we will prove the complete integrability of the geodesic flow using the method of Killing vector fields. In section 2 we show that the geodesic flow has a vanishing topological entropy.

## 1 Complete integrability of geodesic flow

In this section, we will show that

**Theorem 1.1** The geodesic flow on the non-canonical torus  $T^2$  ( $\varepsilon$ ) is integrable with an additional linear integral.

Our strategy is to show the existence of such a first integral by working out the Killing tensor of valence one, i. e. the Killing vector field on the base manifold M.

Recall that a Killing tensor T of valence s on (M,g) is a symmetric (s,0)-tensor satisfying the equation [T,G]=0, where  $[\cdot,\cdot]$  is the Schouten bracket and  $G=g^{-1}$  is the inverse metric.

According to Refs. [6-8], a first integral K polynomial in momenta is in bijective correspondence with Killing tensor T through the relation

$$K = \sum_{i_1 \cdots i_s} T^{i_1 \cdots i_s}(x) p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_s}$$
 (4)

where  $T^{i_1\cdots i_s}$  is the component of T.

Let  $X=a(\theta,\phi)\partial_{\theta}+b(\theta,\phi)\partial_{\phi}$ , be a vector field on the torus, where smooth functions a,b are  $2\pi$  bi-periodic w. r. t.  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ , that is,  $a(\theta+2\pi,\phi)=a(\theta,\phi+2\pi)=a(\theta,\phi)$ , and similarly for b.

**Lemma 1.1** The Killing tensor equation for X, [X,G]=0 is equivalent to the following system of differential equations

$$-(2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{2})\sin\theta\cos\theta a + \left[\sin^{2}\theta + (1+\varepsilon)^{2}\cos^{2}\theta\right]a_{\theta} = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$r^{2}\left[\sin^{2}\theta + (1+\varepsilon)^{2}\cos^{2}\theta\right]a_{\phi} + (R+r\cos\theta)^{2}b_{\theta} = 0$$

$$(6)$$

$$-r\sin\theta a + (R+r\cos\theta)b_{\phi} = 0 \quad (7)$$

**Proof** For a vector field, the Schouten bracket coincides with Lie derivative. Let X be a Killing field, then  $[X,G] = \mathcal{L}_X G = 0$ . Since gG = id, the above equation is equivalent to  $\mathcal{L}_X g = 0$ , the vanishing of Lie derivative of metric g along the direction of X. Observe that

$$\mathcal{L}_{X}g = \mathcal{L}_{X}(r^{2}[\sin^{2}\theta + (1+\varepsilon)^{2}\cos^{2}\theta]d\theta \odot d\theta) + \mathcal{L}_{X}((R+r\cos\theta)^{2}d\phi \odot d\phi) =: A+B \qquad (8)$$
where  $\odot$  denotes symmetric product of tensors.

The first part is, essentially,

$$A/2r^{2} = -(2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{2})\sin\theta\cos\theta a d\theta \odot d\theta + \\ \left[\sin^{2}\theta + (1+\varepsilon)^{2}\cos^{2}\theta\right] \cdot \\ (a_{\theta}d\theta \odot d\theta + a_{\delta}d\phi \odot d\theta)$$
(9)

and the second part is

$$B/2 = -(R + r\cos\theta)r\sin\theta a\,\mathrm{d}\phi\odot\mathrm{d}\phi + (R + r\cos\theta)^2(b_\theta\mathrm{d}\theta\odot\mathrm{d}\phi + b_\phi\mathrm{d}\phi\odot\mathrm{d}\phi)$$
(10) where we have used the identities  $\mathcal{L}_X\theta = a$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_X\phi = b$ .

Combining (8)  $\sim$  (10) together and simplifying them, one obtains the system of equations (5)  $\sim$  (7).

**Lemma 1.2** The system of equations  $(5) \sim$  (7) has only the trivial solution

$$a(\theta, \phi) \equiv 0, \ b(\theta, \phi) \equiv C = \text{const}$$
 (11)

**Proof** Note that Eq. (5) implies

$$\frac{\partial \log |a|}{\partial \theta} = \frac{a_{\theta}}{a} = \kappa(\theta),$$

where  $\kappa(\theta)$  is a smooth function of  $\theta$  only (similarly for functions below). So,

$$\log \mid a \mid = \tilde{\kappa}(\theta) + \lambda(\phi).$$

Then we have

$$a(\theta, \phi) = \pm \exp_{\kappa}(\theta) \cdot \exp_{\lambda}(\phi) := \Theta(\theta)\Phi(\phi)$$
(12)

Substitute (12) into (6) and (7), one can solve them to get

$$b_{\theta} = -\frac{r^{2}\Theta(\theta)\Phi_{\phi}(\sin^{2}\theta + \cos^{2}\theta\varepsilon^{2} + 2\cos^{2}\theta\varepsilon + \cos^{2}\theta)}{(R + r\cos\theta)^{2}}$$

$$b_{\phi} = \frac{r\sin\theta\Theta(\theta)\Phi(\phi)}{R + r\cos\theta}$$
(13)

From Eq. (13), the identity  $\partial_{\phi}b_{\theta} = \partial_{\theta}b_{\phi}$  turns out to be

$$-\Phi_{\phi,\phi}r\Theta(\theta)(\sin^2\theta + \cos^2\theta\varepsilon^2 + 2\cos^2\theta\varepsilon + \cos^2\theta) = \Phi(\phi)[\cos\theta\sin\theta\Theta_{\theta}r + \Theta(\theta)\cos\theta R + \sin\theta\Theta_{\theta}R + \Theta(\theta)r]$$

Since both sides are the products of a function of variable  $\theta$  and that of variable  $\phi$ , it follows that both of them are identical to each other (up to a constant factor C), that is,

$$C\Theta(\theta)(\sin^2\theta + \cos^2\theta \varepsilon^2 + 2\cos^2\theta \varepsilon + \cos^2\theta) = \cos\theta \sin\theta \Theta_{\theta} r + \Theta(\theta)\cos\theta R + \sin\theta \Theta_{\theta} R + \Theta(\theta) r$$
(14)

Also when substituting (12) into (5) and eliminating the functions of  $\phi$ , one has

Comparing (14) with (15), one concludes that the function  $\Theta$  must vanish identically, which yields  $a(\theta, \phi) \equiv 0$  and  $b(\theta, \phi) \equiv C = \text{const.}$ 

We are now in a position to show the Theorem 1. 1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1** Let X be a Killing vector field on the non-canonical torus, then by Lemma 1.2, X must be of the form,  $X = C \partial_{\phi}$ , where C is the constant value of function b. Consequently the vector space of Killing vectors is of dimension one with generator  $\partial_{\phi}$ .

According to the correspondence relation (4),

the Killing vector X = C  $\partial_{\phi}$  corresponds to linear first integral  $K = p_{\phi}$ . It is easy to see  $p_{\phi}$  is independent of the Hamiltonian (3). This implies that the geodesic system is Liouville integrable.

**Remark** It is clear that the non-canonical torus admits a one-dimensional algebra of Killing fields. The torus is compact, therefore its isometries group consists of finite connected components with the identity component isomorphic to circle group  $S^1$ .

### 2 Vanishing topological entropy

In this section we investigate the dynamic behavior of the geodesic flow of the non-canonical torus  $\mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon)$ . Our main result is the following.

**Proposition 2.1** For c>0, restricted to each hypersurface  $Q = H^{-1}(c)$ , the geodesic flow of non-canonical torus (2) has a vanishing topological entropy  $h_t$ , i. e.  $h_t=0$ .

For the definition of topological entropy in general dynamical system, the reader is referred to, e.g. Refs. [2,4]. Our major method to prove Proposition 2.1 is using a result due to Ref. [9]. Other than for geodesic flow only it can be stated in more general setting as below.

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a four-dimensional symplectic manifold and H a Hamiltonian function on  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $Q=H^{-1}(c)$ ,  $c\in\mathbb{R}$ , be a nonsingular compact level set of H, here nonsingularity means the one-form field dH never vanishes on Q. By implicit function theorem, it implies that  $Q \subset \mathcal{G}$  is an embedded submanifold of dimension three, i. e. a smooth hypersurface. Suppose the system  $X_H$  is completely integrable with an independent additional integral K on  $\mathcal{L}$ . We restrict the Hamiltonian flow  $X_H$  on full phase space  $\mathcal{G}$  to invariant subspace Q. For the restricted flow, we have

**Lemma 2.1**<sup>[9]</sup> Under the above general setting, suppose when restricted to Q, function  $\widetilde{K}=K|_Q$  verifies either one of the following conditions:

 $\widehat{K}$  is real analytic,

② the connected components of the set of critical points of  $\widetilde{K}$  form submanifolds.

Then the topological entropy of the restricted flow on Q vanishes.

In order to use the above lemma to study geodesic system of the non-canonical torus, we want to know which level set of H is nonsingular.

As a kinematic energy, Hamiltonian (3) satisfies  $H\geqslant 0$ . Let  $Q=H^{-1}(c)\subset T^*$   $\mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon)$  be a level set, where c is a constant. Then  $c\geqslant 0$ , and c=0 corresponds to the special case

$$Q = H^{-1}(0) = \{(\theta, \phi, 0, 0) \mid (\theta, \phi) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon)\},$$
 which is nothing but the smooth zero section of the vector bundle  $T^* \mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon)$ . It is diffeomorphic to the base manifold  $\mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon)$ , so  $Q$  has dimension two. Moreover, we have

**Lemma 2.2** The smooth function H is degenerate iff  $p_{\theta} = p_{\phi} = 0$ .

**Proof** In a componentwise form, we calculate the one-form dH as

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}H &= \left[\frac{2p_{\theta}\sin\theta(W-1)}{r^2\,W^2\cos\theta} + \frac{2rp_{\phi}\sin\theta}{(R+r\cos\theta)^3}, \\ &0, \frac{p_{\theta}}{r^2\,W}, \frac{p_{\phi}}{(R+r\cos\theta)^2}\right] \end{split}$$

where  $W = \sin^2\theta + (1+\varepsilon)^2 \cos^2\theta$ .

Degeneracy of H corresponds to  $\mathrm{d}H=0$ , which is exactly  $p_\theta=p_\phi=0$  in view of the above explicit expression.

Taking into account the above result, we shall restrict ourselves to the condition c>0.

**Lemma 2.3** For any c>0,  $Q \subset T^* \mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon)$  is a compact hypersurface.

**Proof** According to the above discussions, when c>0, function  $H: \mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon) \to \mathbb{R}$  is smooth and non-singular on  $Q = H^{-1}(c)$ . Implicit function theorem immediately implies that  $Q \subset T^* \mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon)$  is a regular submanifold. It suffices to show that Q is compact.

We argue by a detailed topological analysis. Note the tangent bundle of a torus is a trivial bundle, which is a general property of any Lie group. Also a vector bundle is always isomorphic to its dual bundle, it follows that  $T^* \mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon)$  is

trivial, hence  $T^* \mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon) \simeq \mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ . The coordinates globally split as  $(\theta, \phi) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon)$  and  $(p_{\theta}, p_{\phi}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

One can see that W is bounded, so let us assume  $W \leqslant c_0$ ,  $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . The condition H = c implies that

$$c \geqslant \frac{p_{\theta}^2}{2r^2 \mathbf{W}} \geqslant \frac{p_{\theta}^2}{2r^2 c_0}.$$

It follows

$$\mid p_{\theta} \mid \leqslant r \sqrt{2cc_0} \tag{16}$$

Similarly,

$$c \geqslant \frac{p_{\phi}^2}{2(R + r\cos\theta)^2} \geqslant \frac{p_{\phi}^2}{2(R + r)^2}$$

implies

$$\mid p_{\phi} \mid \leqslant (R+r) \sqrt{2c} \tag{17}$$

(16) together with (17) give that

$$p_{\theta}^2 + p_{\phi}^2 \leqslant 2c [r^2 c_0 + (R+r)^2] := s^2 \quad (18)$$
 where *s* is a constant,  $s > 0$ .

Let us denote by  $D_s$  the closed disc,  $D_s = \{(p_\theta, p_\phi) | p_\theta^2 + p_\phi^2 \leqslant s^2\}$ . The condition (18) means  $(p_\theta, p_\phi) \in D_s \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Therefore, for any  $x = (\theta, \phi, p_\theta, p_\phi) \in Q = H^{-1}(c) \subset \mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ , it holds  $x \in \mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon) \times D_s$ , namely,  $Q \subset \mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon) \times D_s$ . Note  $\mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon) \times D_s$  is compact because of compactness of  $\mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon)$  and  $D_s$ . For Q to be compact, it suffices to show  $Q \subset \mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon) \times D_s$  is closed.

It is obvious that  $Q \subset T^* T^2(\varepsilon)$  is closed as it is the preimage of a closed set  $\{c\}$  under the continuous mapping H. Also compactness of  $T^2(\varepsilon) \times D_s$  implies  $T^2(\varepsilon) \times D_s \subset T^* T^2(\varepsilon)$  is closed. The above two results together imply the closeness of Q in  $T^2(\varepsilon) \times D_s$ . This completes the proof.

**Proof of Proposition 2.1** By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, for c>0 any level set  $Q=H^{-1}(c)$  is a compact and nonsingular hypersurface of cotangent bundle  $T^*\mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon)$ . Moreover, according to Proposition 1.1, the geodesic system of (2) admits an integral  $K=p_{\phi}$ . Obviously, integral  $K:T^*\mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon)\to\mathbb{R}$  is real analytic. Moreover, the natural inclusion mapping  $i:Q\to T^*\mathbb{T}^2(\varepsilon)$  is real analytic as well. The composition of them gives a real analytic function  $K\circ i$ , which is the restricted function  $\widetilde{K}$  of

K to Q. This means that we have verified the condition ① in Lemma 2.1. We thereby complete the proof of Proposition 2.1.

### 3 Conclusion

In this note we have defined a non-canonical metric on two-dimensional torus  $T^2(\varepsilon)$ , and shown that the geodesic flow is Liouville integrable and admits vanishing topological entropy when restricted onto ceratin hypersurface. As remarked earlier, when  $\varepsilon=0$ ,  $T^2(\varepsilon)$  is a canonical torus. On the canonical torus, the corresponding result has been obtained in Ref. [5]. It would be challenging to consider more arbitrary deformation of the canonical metric on torus and study to what extent it can be deformed while still preserving integrability and other good dynamical behaviors.

#### References

- [1] TAIMANOV I A. Topological obstructions to integrability of geodesic flows on non-simply-connected manifolds[J]. Math USSR Izv, 1988, 30: 403-409.
- [2] BOLSINOV A V, JOVANOVIC B. Integrable

- geodesic flows on Riemannian manifolds: Construction and obstructions [C]// Contemporary Geometry and Related Topics. River Edge, NJ: World Scientic, 2004: 57-103.
- [3] MATVEEV V S. Quadratically integrable geodesic flows on the torus and on the Klein bottle[J]. Regul Chaotic Dyn, 1997, 2: 96-102.
- [4] WALTERS P. An Introduction to Ergodic Theory [M]. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [5] CHEN C, LIU F, ZHANG X. Orthogonal separable Hamiltonian systems on  $T^2[J]$ . Science in China Series A: Mathematics, 2007, 50: 1 735-1 747.
- [6] THOMPSON G. Killing tensors in spaces of constant curvature[J]. J Math Phys, 1986, 27: 2 693-2 699.
- [7] CRAMPIN M. Hidden symmetries and Killing tensors [J]. Reports on Mathematical Physics, 1984, 20: 31-40.
- [8] BRUCE A T, MCLENAGHAN R G, SMIRNOV R G. A geometrical approach to the problem of integrability of Hamiltonian systems by separation of variables[J]. Journal of Geometry and Physics, 2001, 39: 301-322.
- [9] PATERNAIN G. Entropy and completely integrable Hamiltonian systems[J]. Proc Amer Math Soc, 1991, 113: 871-873.

### (上接第 975 页)

- [13] LI B, GUO W. On some open problems related to X-permutability of subgroups[J]. Comm Algebra, 2011, 39: 757-771.
- [14] GAGEN T M. Topics in Finite Groups [M]. Melbourne/ New York/ London: Cambridge, 1976.
- [15] GUO W, SKIBA A N. On the intersection of the F-maximal subgroups and the generalized F-hypercentre of a finite group[J]. J Algebra, 2012, 366: 112-125.
- [16] GUO W. The Theory of Classes of Groups [M]. Beijing/ New York/ Dordrecht/ Boston/ London: Science Press/ Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.
- [17] WANG Y. c-Normality of groups and its properties [J]. J Algebra, 1996, 180: 954-965.
- [18] CHEN X, MAO Y, GUO W. On finite groups with

- some primary subgroups satisfying partial S- $\Pi$ -property  $\lceil J \rceil$ . Comm Algebra, 2017, 45: 428-436.
- [19] EZQUERRO L M. A contribution to the theory of finite supersolvable group [J]. Rend Sem Mat Univ Padova, 1993, 89: 161-170.
- [20] LI Y, WANG Y, WEI H. The influence of π-quasinormality of some subgroups of a finite group [J]. Arch Math (Basel), 2003, 81: 245-252.
- [21] SRINIVASAN S. Two sufficient conditions for supersolvability of finite groups [J]. Israel J Math, 1980, 35; 210-214.
- [22] WEI H, WANG Y, LI Y. On *c*-normal maximal and minimal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of finite groups. II[J]. Comm Algebra, 2003, 31: 4 807-4 816.