

A weak- L^p Prodi-Serrin type regularity criterion for electro-hydrodynamics

SHAO Guangming

(School of Mathematical Sciences, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, China)

Abstract: Regularity criteria for weak solution of the electro-hydrodynamics was studied. It was proved that the solution (u, n, p, Ψ) remains strong on $[0, T]$ if $u \in L^s(0, T; L^{r, \infty}(\Omega))$ or $\|u\|_{L^{s, \infty}(0, T; L^{r, \infty}(\Omega))} \leq C$, where $(3/r) + (2/s) = 1$ and $r \in (3, \infty]$, $C > 0$ depending only on r and Ω .

Key words: electro-hydrodynamics; regularity criteria; weak solution; strong solution; weak- L^p functions

CLC number: O175.2 **Document code:** A doi:10.3969/j.issn.0253-2778.2017.09.003

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 76D03

Citation: SHAO Guangming. A weak- L^p Prodi-Serrin type regularity criterion for the electro-hydrodynamics[J]. Journal of University of Science and Technology of China, 2017, 47(9): 729-732.
邵光明. electro-hydrodynamics 方程的弱 L^p Prodi-Serrin 型正则准则[J]. 中国科学技术大学学报, 2017, 47(9): 729-732.

electro-hydrodynamics 方程的弱 L^p Prodi-Serrin 型正则准则

邵光明

(安徽大学数学科学学院, 安徽合肥 230601)

摘要: 主要研究了 electro-hydrodynamics 方程弱解的正则准则. 证明了在条件 $u \in L^s(0, T; L^{r, \infty}(\Omega))$ 或 $\|u\|_{L^{s, \infty}(0, T; L^{r, \infty}(\Omega))} \leq C$ (其中 $(3/r) + (2/s) = 1$ 且 $r \in (3, \infty]$, $C = C(r, \Omega) > 0$) 下, 弱解在区间 $[0, T]$ 上也为强解.

关键词: electro-hydrodynamics; 正则准则; 弱解; 强解; 弱 L^p 泛函

0 Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be an open bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and let $T > 0$ be fixed but arbitrary. We investigate the following form of electro-hydrodynamics in the $\Omega \times (0, T)$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \Delta u + \nabla \pi &= \Delta \Psi \nabla \Psi, \\ \nabla \cdot u &= 0, \\ \partial_t n + (u \cdot \nabla)n &= \operatorname{div}(\nabla n - n \nabla \Psi), \\ \partial_t p + (u \cdot \nabla)p &= \operatorname{div}(\nabla p - p \nabla \Psi), \\ -\Delta \Psi &= p - n \end{aligned} \right\} (1)$$

with the initial conditions

Received: 2016-07-10; **Revised:** 2016-11-18

Foundation item: Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China(11301003).

Biography: SHAO Guangming, male, born in 1988, master. Research field: Infinite-dimensional dynamical systems.
E-mail: guangmingshao@163.com

$$(u, n, p) |_{t=0} = (u_0, n_0, p_0)(x), x \in \Omega \quad (2)$$

and boundary conditions

$$(u, n, p) |_{\partial\Omega \times (0, T)} = (0, 0, 0) \quad (3)$$

where u, π, n, p, Ψ represent, respectively, the velocity of the electrolyte fluid, the pressure, anion concentration, cation concentration and electrostatic potential.

Over the past decades, this model has been widely studied by many authors, see Refs.[2-7], to name but a few. A detailed analysis of the model was given by Ryham et al. in Ref. [1]. In particular, Zhao and Bai have given the existence of the strong solution to this model on $[0, T]$ if $u \in L^s(0, T; L^r(\Omega))$ in Ref. [4], where (r, s) is a Prodi-Serrin pair, namely, $(3/r) + (2/s) = 1$ and $r \in (3, \infty], s \in [2, \infty)$. In Ref.[8], when $\phi = 0$, the existence of a strong solution to (1) on the whole interval $[0, T]$ is guaranteed if $u \in L^s(0, T; L^r(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with $\frac{2}{s} + \frac{3}{r} = 1, 3 < r \leq +\infty$ or $\nabla u \in L^s(0, T; L^r(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with $\frac{2}{s} + \frac{3}{r} = 1, \frac{3}{2} < r \leq +\infty$.

In this paper, we aim to prove that the weak solution of this model is strong on $[0, T]$ if

$$u \in L^s(0, T; L^{r,\infty}(\Omega))$$

or

$$\|u\|_{L^{s,\infty}(0, T; L^{r,\infty}(\Omega))} \leq C,$$

where $C > 0$ depending only on r and Ω .

Our main results are the following.

Theorem 0.1 Let (u, n, p) with

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1_{0,\text{div}}(\Omega)),$$

$$(n, p) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega))$$

be a weak solution of the system (1) with initial data $u_0 \in H^1_{0,\text{div}}(\Omega), (n_0, p_0) \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $n_0, p_0 \geq 0$. If $u \in L^s(0, T; L^{r,\infty}(\Omega))$ for some Prodi-Serrin pair (r, s) . Then (u, n, p) remains strong on $[0, T]$.

Theorem 0.2 Assume $u_0 \in H^1_{0,\text{div}}(\Omega), (n_0, p_0) \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $n_0, p_0 \geq 0$, and let (u, n, p)

be a weak solution of the system (1) with initial data (u_0, p_0, n_0) . There exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on r and Ω . such that if

$$\|u\|_{L^{s,\infty}(0, T; L^{r,\infty}(\Omega))} \leq C$$

for some Prodi-Serrin pair (r, s) , then (u, n, p) remains strong on $[0, T]$.

In Section 1, we provide some preliminaries. Section 2 is devoted to the proof of our main results.

1 Preliminaries

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . For $p \in [1, \infty)$, we denote the weak- $L^p(\Omega)$ space^[10] by $L^{p,\infty}(\Omega)$, and we set

$$\|f\|_{p,\infty} = \sup_{t>0} t[\mu\{x \in \Omega: |f(x)| > t\}]^{1/p},$$

where μ is the Lebesgue measure.

Let $\mathcal{V} = \{v \in C^\infty_0(\Omega): \text{div } v = 0\}$, the closures of \mathcal{V} in the norms of $L^2(\Omega)$ and $H^1_0(\Omega)$ is denoted by $L^2_{\text{div}}(\Omega)$ and $H^1_{0,\text{div}}(\Omega)$, respectively. We denote by P the orthogonal projection onto $L^2_{\text{div}}(\Omega)$ and $A = -P\Delta$ the usual Stokes operator with domain

$$D(A) = H^1_{0,\text{div}}(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega).$$

We will give the following lemma which is serviceable for us to prove the main results.

Lemma 1.1^[9] Let $u \in H^1_{0,\text{div}}(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ be given, and (r, s) be a Prodi-Serrin pair, namely, $r \in (3, \infty]$ and $s \in [2, \infty)$ satisfy $\frac{3}{r} + \frac{2}{s} =$

1. Then

$$\|(u \cdot \nabla)u\|_2 \leq C_r \|u\|_{r,\infty} \|\nabla u\|_{\frac{2}{s}} \|Au\|_{\frac{1-2/s}{2}}.$$

2 Proofs of the results

In this section, we will give the detailed proofs of the main results. For simplicity, C can denote the different positive constants in the sequel.

The proof of Theorem 0.1 Taking the inner product with n (resp. p) in (1)₃ (resp. (1)₄) and using (1)₂, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|n\|_{\frac{2}{s}}^2 + \|\nabla n\|_{\frac{2}{s}}^2 = \int n \nabla \Psi \cdot \nabla n dx \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|p\|_{\frac{2}{s}}^2 + \|\nabla p\|_{\frac{2}{s}}^2 = - \int p \nabla \Psi \cdot \nabla p dx \quad (5)$$

According to (4), (5) and (1)₅, we can conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|n\|_2^2 + \|p\|_2^2) + (\|\nabla n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla p\|_2^2) = \\ \int n \nabla \Psi \cdot \nabla n \, dx - \int p \nabla \Psi \cdot \nabla p \, dx = \\ \int (-\Delta \Psi) \frac{n^2 - p^2}{2} \, dx = - \int \frac{p+n}{2} (p-n)^2 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Ref.[1], we have $n, p \geq 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (\|n\|_2^2 + \|p\|_2^2) + \\ 2(\|\nabla n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla p\|_2^2) \leq 0 \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality to (6), we have

$$\|(n, p)\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega))} \leq C \quad (7)$$

Applying the standard elliptic regularity theory to (1)₅ and using (7), we have

$$\|\Psi\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^3(\Omega))} \leq C \quad (8)$$

Applying the operator P to (1)₁, we have

$$\partial_t u + Au + P[(u \cdot \nabla)u] = P[\Delta \Psi \nabla \Psi] \quad (9)$$

Multiplying (9) by Au

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|Au\|_2^2 = \\ - (P[(u \cdot \nabla)u], Au) + (P[\Delta \Psi \nabla \Psi], Au) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

From Lemma 1.1

$$\begin{aligned} |(P[(u \cdot \nabla)u], Au)| \leq \\ \|(u \cdot \nabla)u\|_2 \|Au\|_2 \leq \\ C_r \|u\|_{r, \infty} \|\nabla u\|_2^{2/s} \|Au\|_2^{2-2/s} \leq \\ \frac{C_r}{s} \left(\frac{s}{4(s-1)}\right)^{1-s} \|u\|_{r, \infty}^s \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|Au\|_2^2 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |(P[\Delta \Psi \nabla \Psi], Au)| \leq \\ \|n-p\|_2 \|\nabla \Psi\|_\infty \|Au\|_2 \leq \\ C \|\nabla \Psi\|_\infty \|Au\|_2 \leq \\ C \|\nabla \Psi\|_\infty^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|Au\|_2^2 \leq \\ C \|\Psi\|_{H^3}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|Au\|_2^2 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Using (11) ~ (12) on (10), we can get the differential inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|Au\|_2^2 \leq \\ \frac{2C_r}{s} \left(\frac{s}{4(s-1)}\right)^{1-s} \|u\|_{r, \infty}^s \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + C \|\Psi\|_{H^3}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 \leq (\|\nabla u_0\|_2^2 + C \|\Psi\|_{L^2(0, T; H^3)}) \exp\left(\frac{2C_r}{s} \left(\frac{s}{4(s-1)}\right)^{1-s} \int_0^t \|u(\sigma)\|_{r, \infty}^s \, d\sigma\right) \leq \\ (\|\nabla u_0\|_2^2 + C \|\Psi\|_{L^2(0, T; H^3)}) \exp\left(\frac{2C_r}{s} \left(\frac{s}{4(s-1)}\right)^{1-s} \int_0^T \|u(\sigma)\|_{r, \infty}^s \, d\sigma\right). \end{aligned}$$

Due to the fact that $u \in L^s(0, T; L^{r, \infty}(\Omega))$ and estimate (8), we can get

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1_{0, \text{div}}(\Omega)) \quad (14)$$

To prove Theorem 0.1, we argue by contradiction. Suppose that the solution remains strong only on $[0, T')$, with $T' < T$. But if $[0, T')$ is the maximal interval for the existence of the strong solution, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u(t)\|_2 + \|n(t)\|_2 + \|p(t)\|_2 + \\ \|\Psi(t)\|_{H^2} \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } t \rightarrow T'. \end{aligned}$$

However, (7), (8) and (14) guarantee that the solution must be strong on $[0, T]$.

The proof is completed.

The proof of Theorem 0.2 The idea of the

following proof comes from Ref.[9] (see also Ref. [11]) where the authors studied the weak- L^p Prodi-Serrin type regularity criterion for the Navier-Stokes equations.

For $\epsilon > 0$, let

$$r_\epsilon = \frac{3s + 3\epsilon(4-s)}{s-2+\epsilon(4-s)}, \quad s_\epsilon = s + \epsilon(4-s),$$

then (r_ϵ, s_ϵ) is a Prodi-Serrin pair.

Through a standard interpolation

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{r_\epsilon, \infty}^{s_\epsilon} \leq \|u\|_{r, \infty}^{s(1-\epsilon)} \|u\|_{6, \infty}^{4\epsilon} \leq \\ C^\epsilon \|u\|_{r, \infty}^{s(1-\epsilon)} \|\nabla u\|_2^{4\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this inequality in (13), for (r_ϵ, s_ϵ) it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \leq$$

$$\frac{2C'C_{r_\epsilon}}{s_\epsilon} \left[\frac{s_\epsilon}{4(s_\epsilon - 1)} \right]^{1-s_\epsilon} \|u\|_{r_\epsilon, \infty}^{s_\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \|\nabla u\|_{\frac{4}{2}}^{4+2} + C \|\Psi\|_{H^3}^2.$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{\frac{2}{2}}^2 &\leq \|\nabla u_0\|_{\frac{2}{2}}^2 + C \|\Psi\|_{L^2(0,T;H^3)} + \\ &\frac{2C'C_{r_\epsilon}}{s_\epsilon} \left[\frac{s_\epsilon}{4(s_\epsilon - 1)} \right]^{1-s_\epsilon} \cdot \\ &\int_0^t \|u(\sigma)\|_{r_\epsilon, \infty}^{s_\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \|\nabla u(\sigma)\|_{\frac{4}{2}}^{4+2} d\sigma \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Set $\kappa(t) = \|u\|_{r_\epsilon, \infty}$ for $t \in [0, T]$. Then $\kappa(t) \in L^{1,\infty}(0, T)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \int_0^t \kappa^{1-\epsilon}(\sigma) d\sigma &= \\ (1-\epsilon) \int_0^\infty \sigma^{-\epsilon} \mu\{\tau \in [0, T] : \kappa(\tau) > \sigma\} d\sigma &\leq \\ \epsilon T + \epsilon(1-\epsilon) \|\kappa\|_{1,\infty} \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma^{1+\epsilon}} d\sigma &= \\ \epsilon T + (1-\epsilon) \|\kappa\|_{1,\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) &\stackrel{\Delta}{=} \|\nabla u_0\|_{\frac{2}{2}}^2 + C \|\Psi\|_{L^2(0,T;H^3)} + \\ &\frac{2C'C_{r_\epsilon}}{s_\epsilon} \left[\frac{s_\epsilon}{4(s_\epsilon - 1)} \right]^{1-s_\epsilon} \int_0^t \kappa^{1-\epsilon}(\sigma) \|\nabla u(\sigma)\|_{\frac{4}{2}}^{4+2} d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

then we can conclude $\|\nabla u(\sigma)\|_{\frac{2}{2}}^2 \leq \psi(t)$.

From (15), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'(t) &= \frac{2C'C_{r_\epsilon}}{s_\epsilon} \left[\frac{s_\epsilon}{4(s_\epsilon - 1)} \right]^{1-s_\epsilon} \kappa^{1-\epsilon}(t) \|\nabla u(t)\|_{\frac{4}{2}}^{4+2} \leq \\ &\frac{2C'C_{r_\epsilon}}{s_\epsilon} \left[\frac{s_\epsilon}{4(s_\epsilon - 1)} \right]^{1-s_\epsilon} \kappa^{1-\epsilon}(t) \psi^{1+2\epsilon}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (16)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{-2\epsilon}(0) - \psi^{-2\epsilon}(t) &\leq \\ \frac{4\epsilon C'C_{r_\epsilon}}{s_\epsilon} \left[\frac{s_\epsilon}{4(s_\epsilon - 1)} \right]^{1-s_\epsilon} \int_0^T \kappa^{1-\epsilon}(\sigma) d\sigma &\leq \\ \frac{4\epsilon C'C_{r_\epsilon}}{s_\epsilon} \left[\frac{s_\epsilon}{4(s_\epsilon - 1)} \right]^{1-s_\epsilon} T + \\ \frac{4(1-\epsilon) C'C_{r_\epsilon}}{s_\epsilon} \left[\frac{s_\epsilon}{4(s_\epsilon - 1)} \right]^{1-s_\epsilon} \|\kappa\|_{1,\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{4 C'C_{r_\epsilon}}{s_\epsilon} \left[\frac{s_\epsilon}{4(s_\epsilon - 1)} \right]^{1-s_\epsilon} \|\kappa\|_{1,\infty} < 1 - 3\delta.$$

Choosing $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small that $\psi^{-2\epsilon}(0) >$

$$1 - \delta, \text{ and } \frac{4\epsilon C'C_{r_\epsilon}}{s_\epsilon} \left[\frac{s_\epsilon}{4(s_\epsilon - 1)} \right]^{1-s_\epsilon} T < \delta.$$

We have $\psi(t) \leq \delta^{-1/2\epsilon}, \forall t \in [0, T]$. Due to $\|\nabla u(\sigma)\|_{\frac{2}{2}}^2 \leq \psi(t)$, we have $\|\nabla u(t)\|_{\frac{2}{2}}^2 \leq \delta^{-1/2\epsilon}, t \in [0, T]$. Namely, $\|\nabla u(t)\|_{\frac{2}{2}}^2$ is bounded on $[0, T]$. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 0.1, we can conclude that $\|n(t)\|_2, \|p(t)\|_2, \|\phi(t)\|_{H^2}$ is bounded on $[0, T]$.

The proof is completed.

References

[1] RYHAM R J, LIU C, ZIKATANOV L. Mathematical models for the deformation of electrolyte droplets[J]. Discrete Contin Dyn Syst Ser B, 2007, 8(3): 649-661.
 [2] DENG C, ZHAO J, CUI S. Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes-Nernst-Planck-Poisson system in Triebel-Lizorkin space and Besov space with negative indices[J]. J Math Anal Appl, 2011, 377(1): 392-405.
 [3] FAN J, NAKAMURA G, ZHOU Y. On the Cauchy problem for a model of electro-kinetic fluid[J]. Appl Math Lett, 2012, 25(1): 33-37.
 [4] ZHAO J, BAI M. Blow-up criteria for the three dimensional nonlinear dissipative system modeling electro-hydrodynamics[J]. Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications, 2016, 31: 210-226.
 [5] LI F. Quasineutral limit of the electro-diffusion model arising in electrohydrodynamics [J]. J Differential Equations, 2009, 246(9): 3620-3641.
 [6] SHAO G, CHAI X. Approximation of the 2D incompressible electrohydrodynamics system by the artificial compressibility method[J]. Boundary Value Problems, 2017, 2017(1): 14.
 [7] RYHAM R J. Existence, uniqueness, regularity and long-term behavior for dissipative systems modeling electrohydrodynamics[DB/OL]. arXiv:0910.4973.
 [8] FAN J, LI F, NAKAMURA G. Regularity criteria for a mathematical model for the deformation of electrolyte droplets[J]. Appl Math Lett, 2013, 26: 494-499.
 [9] BOSIA S, PATA V, ROBINSON J. A weak- L^p Prodi-Serrin type regularity criterion for the Navier-Stokes equations[J]. J Math Fluid Mech, 2014, 16: 721-725.
 [10] GRAFAKOS L. Classical Fourier Analysis[M]. New York: Springer, 2008.
 [11] PATA V, MIRANVILLE A. On the regularity of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations[J]. Commun Pure Appl Anal, 2012, 11: 747-761.